

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,177	02/09/2004	Gabi Elgressy	1543/6	6756
DR MARK FR	7590 08/23/200 RIEDMAN LTD.	7	EXAM	INER
C/o Bill Polkinghorn			PHASGE, ARUN S	
Discovery Disp 9003 Florin Wa			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Upper Marlboro, MD 20772			1753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/773,177	ELGRESSY, GABI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arun S. Phasge	1753			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
Status	**					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	x parte quaylo, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.			
· · · <u> </u>						
	4) Claim(s) <u>24-44</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-44</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
		election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
A44===================================						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 24-29, 32-36, 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the brochure in view of Rohrback of record for reasons of record.

Claims 31 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the brochure in view of Rohrback as applied to claims above, and further in view of Huba et al. (Huba), U.S. Patent 4,331,525.

The combination of reference fails to disclose the use of a constant current in electrolysis. The Huba patent is cited to show the use of a constant current in electrolysis in particular the scraping removal of precipitates from the electrodes (see example 1 in column 13).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/11/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art fails to disclose that the scraper promotes the scale deposition on said wall.

Any use of a scraper, which would remove the precipitates from an electrode, would promote the scale deposition, because such use would provide a clean surface and greater electrical conductivity which would provide the promoted scale deposition. That is why the Rohrback patent has to add further substances to prevent the continuance of the precipitation. The reduction of the scale forming compounds in the water would be predicted, because the continual removal of the scale from the electrodes would provide a clean surface for the deposition of more scale. Thus limiting the amount of scale forming compounds in water.

Further applicant argues that the thickness upon when the scraping of the electrode commences is different. The selection of the thickness provides not patentable structural weight in an apparatus claim. Additionally, the selection a thickness based upon experimentation to optimize a process would have been an obvious modification well within the purview of the ordinary artisan.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753